

# Lessons from LK2101: Optimizing MRD Data Capture for AML in CIBMTR

Firas El Chaer, M.D. M.S.H.C.M. (Chief of Leukemia, Miami Cancer Institute)

Jesse Tettero, M.D. Ph.D. (Post-doc, Virginia Tech)

November 20<sup>th</sup> 2025

# Conclusions from LK2101 Analysis

- **MRD remains a consistent risk factor**, but its prognostic performance may vary across centers
- Variability likely reflects **differences in assay implementation, sensitivity, and reporting**
- Findings highlight the **limited prognostic utility** of registry-reported MFC-MRD data
- **Standardized MRD assessment** protocols across transplant centers are urgently needed
- Data interpretation was **challenged by limited quality parameters** available in the registry

# Current status of MFC-MRD in CIBMTR

## AML Pre-Infusion Data (CRF (Form 2010 R4))

58. Was the recipient MRD negative following this line of therapy?  Yes  No

79. Was flow cytometry performed?

- Yes  
 No  
 Unknown

**Specify tissue and results at last evaluation prior to the start of the preparative regimen / infusion:**

80. Blood  
 Yes →  
 No

81. Date sample collected: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_  
                                YYYY     MM     DD

82. Was disease detected?  
 yes →  
 No

83. Specify percent disease detected:  
      \_\_\_ • \_\_\_ %

84. Bone marrow  
 Yes →  
 No

85. Date sample collected: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_  
                                YYYY     MM     DD

86. Was disease detected?  
 yes →  
 No

87. Specify percent disease detected:  
      \_\_\_ • \_\_\_ %

# Current status of MFC-MRD in CIBMTR

## AML Post-Infusion Data (CRF (Form 2110 R4))

15. Was the disease status assessed via flow cytometry?

- Yes  
 No

**Specify tissue and results at time of best response:**

16. Blood

- Yes  
 No

17. Date sample collected:

\_\_ \_\_ / \_\_ \_\_ / \_\_ \_\_  
YYYY MM DD

18. Was disease detected?

- Yes  
 No

19. Specify percent disease detected:

\_\_ . \_\_ %

20. Bone marrow

- Yes  
 No

21. Date sample collected:

\_\_ \_\_ / \_\_ \_\_ / \_\_ \_\_  
YYYY MM DD

22. Was disease detected?

- Yes  
 No

23. Specify percent disease detected:

\_\_ . \_\_ %

63. Was disease detected via flow cytometry?

- Yes  
 No

**Specify tissue and results:**

64. Blood

- Yes  
 No

65. Date sample collected: \_\_ \_\_ / \_\_ \_\_ / \_\_ \_\_  
YYYY MM DD

66. Specify percent disease detected: \_\_ . \_\_ %

67. Bone marrow

- Yes  
 No

68. Date sample collected: \_\_ \_\_ / \_\_ \_\_ / \_\_ \_\_  
YYYY MM DD

69. Specify percent disease detected: \_\_ . \_\_ %

90. Was intervention given for relapsed disease, persistent disease, or minimal residual disease? (since the date of the last report)

- Yes  
 No

91. Specify reason for which intervention was given

- Minimal residual disease    Persistent disease    Relapsed disease

# Current status of molecular-MRD in CIBMTR

## AML Post-Infusion Data (CRF (Form 2110 R4))

51. Were tests for molecular markers performed (and positive for disease) (e.g. PCR, NGS)?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

52. Date sample collected: \_\_ \_\_ \_\_ \_\_ / \_\_ \_\_ / \_\_ \_\_  
  YYYY      MM      DD

**Specify molecular markers identified since the date of last report:**

53. CEBPA

- Positive
- Negative
- Not done

54. Specify CEBPA mutation

- Biallelic (homozygous)
- Monoallelic (heterozygous)
- Unknown

55. FLT3 – TKD (point mutations in D835 or deletions of codon I836)       Positive       Negative       Not done

56. FLT3 – ITD mutation       Positive       Negative       Not done

57. IDH1       Positive       Negative       Not done

58. IDH2       Positive       Negative       Not done

59. KIT       Positive       Negative       Not done

60. NPM1

61. Other molecular marker

- Positive
- Negative
- Not done

62. Specify other molecular marker: \_\_\_\_\_

**Copy and complete questions 62-63 to report multiple molecular markers**

# Current need

- **Expand MRD data fields** → enable deeper interpretation and predictive value
- **Ensure minimum quality standards** → sampling, assay performance, and reporting
- **Harmonize assessments across centers** → standardized methods and definitions
- **Maintain feasibility for data providers** → ensure forms remain practical and easy to complete

# Possibilities for MFC-MRD expansion

- **Sample quality**

- *Anticoagulant used*
- *Viability (%)*
- Total events acquired
- *Assessment of hemodilution*

85. Date sample collected: \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_

86. Was disease detected?

Yes – **Go to question 87**

No

87. Specify percent disease detected:      .      %

88. Specify the total white blood cell (WBC) events acquired:      .

# Possibilities for MFC-MRD expansion

- **Assay characteristics**

- Number of markers used
- Reported sensitivity
- Gating strategy

Assay characteristics:

89. Specify the number of markers used to assess MFC: \_\_\_\_\_

90: Specify the reported sensitivity of the assay used to assess MFC: \_\_\_\_\_ %

91. Specify the gating strategy used:

LAIP (based on the LAIP found at diagnosis)

Different from Normal (DfN)

Combination of LAIP and DfN

Unknown

# Possibilities for molecular MRD expansion

- **Expand with more markers**
  - Include all ELN risk defining markers

12. NPM1

- Positive
- Negative
- Not done

[13. RUNX1-RUNX1T1](#)

- [Positive](#)
- [Negative](#)
- [Not done](#)

[14. CFBF-MYH11](#)

- [Positive](#)
- [Negative](#)
- [Not done](#)

[15. BCR-ABL1](#)

- [Positive](#)
- [Negative](#)
- [Not done](#)

[16. TP53](#)

- [Positive](#)
- [Negative](#)
- [Not done](#)

[17. KMT2A](#)

- [Positive](#)
- [Negative](#)
- [Not done](#)

# Possibilities for molecular MRD expansion

- **Expand with more markers**
  - Include all ELN risk defining markers
- **Separate qPCR from NGS**

## Next-generation sequencing

Was measurable residual disease detected by NGS at last evaluation prior to the start of the preparative regimen / infusion?

# Possibilities for molecular MRD expansion

- **Expand with more markers**
  - Include all ELN risk defining markers
- **Separate qPCR from NGS**
- **Ask for assay performance**
  - Include LOD question

Assay performance:

18. Lower limit of detection: \_\_\_\_\_ %

# Possibilities for molecular MRD expansion

- **Expand with more markers**
  - Include all ELN risk defining markers
- **Separate qPCR from NGS**
- **Ask for assay performance**
  - Include LOD question
- **Have a dedicated section for Invivoscribe NGS testing**

Was measurable residual disease detected by Invivoscribe NGS at last evaluation prior to the start of the preparative regimen / infusion?

**Specify molecular markers identified at time of best response:**

1. FLT3-ITD

- Positive
- Negative
- Not done

6. NPM1

- Positive
- Negative
- Not done